Part II

Quality Assurance Project Plan Addendum for the Phase I Remedial Investigation of the NACA Test Area at the Ravenna Army Ammunition Plant, Ravenna, Ohio

October 1999

Prepared for

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Louisville District Contract No. DACA62-94-D-0029 Delivery Order No. 0077

Prepared by

Science Applications International Corporation 800 Oak Ridge Turnpike, P.O. Box 2502 Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831

CONTENTS PART II – QUALITY ASSURANCE PROJECT PLAN ADDENDUM

| TAB | LES | | V |
|------|------|---|-----|
| ACR | ONY! | MS | V |
| INTI | RODU | CTION | vii |
| 1.0 | PRO | JECT DESCRIPTION | 1-1 |
| | 1.1 | SITE HISTORY/BACKGROUND INFORMATION | 1-1 |
| | 1.2 | PAST DATA COLLECTION ACTIVITY/CURRENT STATUS | |
| | 1.3 | PROJECT OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE | |
| | 1.4 | SAMPLE NETWORK DESIGN AND RATIONALE | |
| | 1.5 | PARAMETERS TO BE TESTED AND FREQUENCY | |
| | 1.6 | PROJECT SCHEDULE | |
| 2.0 | PRO | JECT ORGANIZATION AND RESPONSIBILITY | 2-1 |
| 3.0 | QUA | ALITY ASSURANCE OBJECTIVES FOR MEASUREMENT | 3-1 |
| | 3.1 | DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES | 3-1 |
| | 3.2 | LEVEL OF QUALITY CONTROL EFFORT | 3-1 |
| | 3.3 | ACCURACY, PRECISION, AND SENSITIVITY OF ANALYSIS | 3-1 |
| | 3.4 | COMPLETENESS, REPRESENTATIVENESS, AND COMPARABILITY | 3-1 |
| 4.0 | SAM | PLING PROCEDURES | 4-1 |
| 5.0 | SAN | IPLE CUSTODY | |
| | 5.1 | FIELD CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY PROCEDURES | |
| | 5.2 | LABORATORY CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY PROCEDURES | |
| | 5.3 | FINAL EVIDENCE FILES CUSTODY PROCEDURES | 5-1 |
| 6.0 | CAL | IBRATION PROCEDURES AND FREQUENCY | |
| | 6.1 | FIELD INSTRUMENTS/EQUIPMENT | |
| | 6.2 | LABORATORY INSTRUMENTS | 6-1 |
| 7.0 | | ALYTICAL PROCEDURES | |
| | 7.1 | LABORATORY ANALYSIS | |
| | 7.2 | FIELD SCREENING ANALYTICAL PROTOCOLS | 7-2 |
| 8.0 | | ERNAL QUALITY CONTROL CHECKS | |
| | 8.1 | FIELD SAMPLE COLLECTION | |
| | 8.2 | FIELD MEASUREMENT | |
| | 8.3 | LABORATORY ANALYSIS | 8-1 |
| 9.0 | | TA REDUCTION, VALIDATION, AND REPORTING | |
| | 9.1 | DATA REDUCTION | |
| | 9.2 | DATA VALIDATION | |
| | 9.3 | DATA REPORTING | 9-1 |

CONTENTS (continued)

| 10.0 | PERFORMANCE AND SYSTEM AUDITS | 10-1 |
|------|---|------|
| | 10.1 FIELD AUDITS | 10-1 |
| | 10.2 LABORATORY AUDITS | 10-1 |
| 11.0 | PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE PROCEDURES | 11-1 |
| | 11.1 FIELD INSTRUMENTS AND EQUIPMENT | 11-1 |
| | 11.2 LABORATORY INSTRUMENTS | 11-1 |
| 12.0 | SPECIFIC ROUTINE PROCEDURES TO ASSESS DATA PRECISION, ACCURACY, | |
| | AND COMPLETENESS | |
| | 12.1 FIELD MEASUREMENTS DATA | 12-1 |
| | 12.2 LABORATORY DATA | 12-1 |
| 13.0 | CORRECTIVE ACTIONS | 13-1 |
| | 13.1 SAMPLE COLLECTION/FIELD MEASUREMENTS | 13-1 |
| | 13.2 LABORATORY ANALYSES | 13-1 |
| 14.0 | QA REPORTS TO MANAGEMENT | 14-1 |
| 15.0 | REFERENCES | 15-1 |

TABLES

| 1-1 | Sampling and Analytical Requirements for the Phase I RI at the NACA Test Area | 1-2 |
|-----|---|-----|
| 3-1 | Analytical Methods, Parameters, and Project Quantitation Limits for the | |
| | NACA Test Area Phase I RI | 3-2 |
| 4-1 | Container Requirements for Soil and Sediment Samples for the NACA Test Area Phase I RI | 4-2 |
| 4-2 | Container Requirements for Water Samples for the NACA Test Area Phase I RI ^a | 4-3 |

ACRONYMS

CX Center of Excellence DQO data quality objectives

EPA U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

FSP Field Sampling Plan GC gas chromatograph

HTRW Hazardous, Toxic, and Radioactive Waste

LCS laboratory control sample

MS matrix spike

MSD matrix spike duplicate

NACA National Advisory Committee on Aeronautics
Ohio EPA Ohio Environmental Protection Agency

QA quality assurance

QAMP Quality Assurance Management Plan (Quanterra)

QAPP Quality Assurance Project Plan

QC quality control

QCMRL quality control method reporting level

RI Remedial Investigation

SAIC Science Applications International Corporation

SAP Sampling and Analysis Plan SOP Standard Operating Procedures USACE U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

| RVAAP NACA Test Area Phase I RI–Quality Assurance Project Plan Addendum No. 1 |
|---|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

INTRODUCTION

This Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) Addendum addresses supplemental project-specific information pertaining to the Phase I Remedial Investigation for the National Advisory Committee on Aeronautics Test Area at the Ravenna Army Ammunition Plant, Ravenna, Ohio. Each QAPP section is presented documenting adherence to the facility-wide QAPP or stipulating additional project-specific requirements.

| RVAAP NACA Test Area Phase I RI-Quality Assurance Project Plan Addendum No. 1 |
|---|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| ::: |

1.0 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

1.1 SITE HISTORY/BACKGROUND INFORMATION

This information is contained in Section 1.1 of the Field Sampling Plan (FSP) of this Phase I Remedial Investigation (RI) Sampling and Analysis Plan (SAP) Addendum for the National Advisory Committee on Aeronautics (NACA) Test Area.

1.2 PAST DATA COLLECTION ACTIVITY/CURRENT STATUS

This information is contained in Section 1.2 of the FSP of this Phase I RI SAP Addendum for the NACA Test Area.

1.3 PROJECT OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE

This information is contained in Chapter 3.0 of the FSP of this Phase I RI SAP Addendum for the NACA Test Area.

1.4 SAMPLE NETWORK DESIGN AND RATIONALE

This information is contained in Chapter 4.0 of the FSP of this Phase I RI SAP Addendum for the NACA Test Area.

1.5 PARAMETERS TO BE TESTED AND FREQUENCY

Sample matrix types, analytical parameters, and analytical methods are discussed in Chapter 4.0 of the Phase I RI SAP Addendum. These are summarized in <u>Table 1-1</u> of this Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) Addendum, in conjunction with anticipated sample numbers, quality assurance (QA) sample frequencies, and field quality control (QC) sample frequencies.

1.6 PROJECT SCHEDULE

The NACA Test Area Phase I RI project schedule is discussed in Chapter 2.0 of the FSP of this Phase I RI SAP Addendum.

Table 1-1. Sampling and Analytical Requirements for the Phase I RI at the NACA Test Area

| Parameter | Methods | Field Samples | Field Duplicate Samples | Site Source Water ^a | Sampler Rinsates | Trip Blanks | Total A-E Samples | USACE QA Split Samples | USACE Trip Blanks |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|
| | Soils | | | | | | | | |
| Volatile Organics, TCL | SW-846, 5030/8260B | 120 | 12 | ı | - | - | 132 | 12 | ı |
| Semivolatile Organics, TCL | SW-846, 8270C | 120 | 12 | - | - | - | 132 | 12 | - |
| PCBs, TCL | SW-846, 8082 | 14 | 2 | - | - | - | 16 | 2 | - |
| Explosives | SW-846, 8330 | 13 | 2 | - | - | - | 15 | 2 | - |
| Propellants | SW-846, 8330Mod/353.2 | 13 | 2 | - | - | - | 15 | 2 | - |
| Metals, TAL | SW-846, 6010B/7471A | 120 | 12 | - | - | - | 132 | 12 | - |
| Cyanide | SW-846, 9012A | 120 | 12 | - | - | - | 132 | 12 | - |
| Grain Size | ASTM D422-63 | 7 | - | ı | - | - | 7 | - | 1 |
| Moisture Content | ASTM D2216 | 7 | - | ı | - | - | 7 | - | ı |
| Atterberg Limits | ASTM D4318 | 7 | - | - | - | - | 7 | - | - |
| Unified Soil Classification | | 7 | - | ı | - | - | 7 | - | ı |
| | | | Sediments | | | | | | |
| Volatile Organics, TCL | SW-846, 5030/8260B | 6 | 1 | - | - | - | 7 | 1 | - |
| Semivolatile Organics, TCL | SW-846, 8270C | 6 | 1 | - | - | - | 7 | 1 | - |
| PCBs | SW-846, 8082 | 6 | 1 | ı | - | - | 7 | 1 | 1 |
| Explosives | SW-846, 8330 | 6 | 1 | - | - | - | 7 | 1 | - |
| Propellants | SW-846, 8330Mod/353.2 | 6 | 1 | - | - | - | 7 | 1 | - |
| Metals, TAL | SW-846, 6010B/7471A | 6 | 1 | ı | - | - | 7 | 1 | ı |
| Cyanide | SW-846, 9012A | 6 | 1 | ı | - | - | 7 | 1 | ı |
| Total Organic Carbon | Walkley/Black Method | 6 | - | - | - | - | 6 | - | - |
| Grain Size | ASTM D422-63 | 6 | - | - | - | - | 6 | - | _ |

Table 1-1 (continued)

| Parameter | Methods | Field Samples | Field Duplicate Samples | Site Source Water ^a | Sampler Rinsates | Trip Blanks | Total A-E Samples | USACE QA Split Samples | USACE Trip Blanks |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|
| | | Surface W | aters and Gro | oundwater | | | | | |
| Volatile Organics, TCL | SW-846, 8260B | 7 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 10 | 1 | 1 |
| Semivolatile Organics, TCL | SW-846, 8270C | 7 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 9 | 1 | - |
| PCBs, TCL | SW-846, 8082 | 6 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 8 | 1 | - |
| Explosives | SW-846, 8330 | 7 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 9 | 1 | - |
| Propellants | SW-846, 8330Mod/353.2 | 7 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 9 | 1 | - |
| Metals (total), TAL | SW-846, 6010A/7470A | 7 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 9 | 1 | - |
| Cyanide | SW-846, 9012A | 7 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 9 | 1 | - |

^aSource waters = One potable water source and one ASTM water supply lot for the project.

= Architect Engineer A-E

ASTM = American Society for Testing and Materials

PCB = Polychlorinated biphenyl TAL = Target Analyte List TCL = Target Compound List USACE = U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

| RVAAP NACA Test Area Phase I RI-Quality Assurance Project Plan Addendum No. 1 |
|---|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

2.0 PROJECT ORGANIZATION AND RESPONSIBILITY

The functional project organization and responsibilities are described in Chapter 2.0 of the FSP of this NACA Test Area Phase I RI SAP Addendum.

Analytical support for this work has been assigned to Quanterra Environmental Services, Inc., and Catlin Engineering, Inc. The majority of analyses will be completed by Quanterra's North Canton, Ohio, facility, with explosive determinations being performed by the Knoxville, Tennessee, facility and nitrocellulose/nitroguanidine analyses being performed by the Sacramento, California, facility. These laboratories have been validated by the U.S. Army Corp of Engineers (USACE) Hazardous, Toxic, and Radioactive Waste (HTRW) Center of Excellence (CX), Omaha, Nebraska. Quanterra Environmental Services' Quality Assurance Management Plan (QAMP) Revision 3, November 1998 is available for review upon request. The laboratory's organizational structure, roles, and responsibilities are identified in Section 1 of its QAMP and facility-specific appendices. Catlin Engineering will perform all soil and sediment geotechnical determinations.

Analytical Facilities

Quanterra Environmental Services, Inc. – general analytical services:

North Canton, OH 4101 Shuffel Drive, N.W. North Canton, OH 44720 Tel: (330) 497-9396

Fax: (330) 497-9396

Quanterra Environmental Services, Inc. – explosives analyses:

Knoxville, TN 5815 Middlebrook Pike Knoxville, TN 37921 Tel: (423) 588-6401

Fax: (423) 584-4315

Quanterra Environmental Services, Inc. – nitrocellulose/nitroquanidine analyses:

Sacramento, CA 880 Riverside Parkway West Sacramento, CA 95605 Tel: (916) 373-5600

Fax: (916) 372-1059

Catlin Engineering, Inc. – soil and sediment geotechnical analyses:

Mt. Pleasant, SC 1051 Johnnie Dodds Blvd Suite C Mt. Pleasant, SC 29464

Tel: (803) 881-6000 Fax: (803) 881-2619

| RVAAP NACA Test Area Phase I RI-Quality Assurance Project Plan Addendum No. 1 |
|---|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

3.0 QUALITY ASSURANCE OBJECTIVES FOR MEASUREMENT

3.1 DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES

Data quality objective (DQO) summaries for this investigation will follow Tables 3-1 and 3-2, in the facility-wide QAPP (USACE 1996). All QC parameters stated in the specific SW-846 methods will be adhered to for each chemical listed. SW-846 method references found in the facility-wide QAPP have been revised to the Update III Methods (i.e., 8260A is now 8260B, 8270B is now 8270C, etc.), and project quantitation levels have been updated (see <u>Tables 1-1</u> and <u>3-1</u> of this addendum). Laboratories are required to comply with all methods as written; recommendations are considered requirements.

3.2 LEVEL OF QUALITY CONTROL EFFORT

QC efforts will be in accordance with Section 3.2 of the facility-wide QAPP. Field QC measurements will include field source water blanks, trip blanks, field duplicates, and equipment rinsate blanks. Laboratory QC measurements will include method blanks, laboratory control samples, laboratory duplicates, and matrix spike (MS)/matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples.

3.3 ACCURACY, PRECISION, AND SENSITIVITY OF ANALYSIS

Accuracy, precision, and sensitivity goals identified in the facility-wide QAPP Section 3.3 and Tables 3-1 through 3-3 will be imposed for these investigations.

3.4 COMPLETENESS, REPRESENTATIVENESS, AND COMPARABILITY

Completeness, representativeness, and comparability goals identified in the facility-wide QAPP Section 3.4 (Tables 3-1 and 3-2) will be imposed for these investigations.

3-1

Table 3-1. Analytical Methods, Parameters, and Project Quantitation Limits for the NACA Test Area Phase I RI

| | Analytica | al Methods | Project Quantitation Levels ab | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|--|
| Parameters | Water | Soil/Sediment | Water | Soil/Sediment | |
| Volatile Organic Compounds | SW 846- 5030/8260B ^c | SW 846- 5035/8260B ^c | (µg/L) | (μg/kg) ^d | |
| Chloromethane | | | 10 | 10 | |
| Bromomethane | | | 10 | 10 | |
| Bromochloromethane | | | 5 | 5 | |
| Vinyl chloride | | | 10 | 10 | |
| Chloroethane | | | 10 | 10 | |
| Methylene chloride | | | 5 | 5 | |
| Acetone | | | 10 | 10 | |
| Carbon disulfide | | | 5 | 5 | |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane | | | 5 | 5 | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | | | 5 | 5 | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | | | 5 | 5 | |
| 1,2-Dichloroethene (total) | | | 5 | 5 | |
| Chloroform | | | 5 | 5 | |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | | | 5 | 5 | |
| 2-Butanone | | | 10 | 10 | |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | | | 5 | 5 | |
| Carbon tetrachloride | | | 5 | 5 | |
| Bromodichloromethane | | | 5 | 5 | |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | | | 5 | 5 | |
| 1,3-cis-Dichloropropene | | | 5 | 5 | |
| Trichloroethene | | | 5 | 5 | |
| Dibromochloromethane | | | 5 | 5 | |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | | | 5 | 5 | |
| Benzene | | | 5 | 5 | |
| 1,3-trans-Dichloropropene | | | 5 | 5 | |
| Tribromomethane | | | 5 | 5 | |
| 4-Methyl-2-pentanone | | | 10 | 10 | |

Table 3-1 (continued)

| | Analytica | al Methods | Project Quantitation Levels ab | | |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|--|
| Parameters | Water | Soil/Sediment | Water | Soil/Sediment | |
| 2-Hexanone | | | 10 | 10 | |
| Tetrachloroethene | | | 5 | 5 | |
| Toluene | | | 5 | 5 | |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | | | 5 | 5 | |
| Chlorobenzene | | | 5 | 5 | |
| Ethylbenzene | | | 5 | 5 | |
| Styrene | | | 5 | 5 | |
| Xylenes (total) | | | 5 | 5 | |
| Semivolatile Organic Compounds | SW 846- 3520/8270C ^c | SW 846- 3550/8270C ^c | (μg/L) | (μg/kg) ^d | |
| Phenol | | | 10 | 330 | |
| bis(2-Chloroethyl) ether | | | 10 | 330 | |
| 2-Chlorophenol | | | 10 | 330 | |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | | | 10 | 330 | |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | | | 10 | 330 | |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | | | 10 | 330 | |
| 2-Methylphenol | | | 10 | 330 | |
| 2,2'- Oxybis (1-chloropropane) | | | 10 | 330 | |
| 4-Methylphenol | | | 10 | 330 | |
| N-nitroso-di-n-dipropylamine | | | 10 | 330 | |
| Hexachloroethane | | | 10 | 330 | |
| Nitrobenzene | | | 10 | 330 | |
| Isophorone | | | 10 | 330 | |
| 2-Nitrophenol | | | 10 | 330 | |
| 2,4-Dimethylphenol | | | 10 | 330 | |
| bis(2-Chloroethoxy) methane | | | 10 | 330 | |
| 2,4-Dichlorophenol | | | 10 | 330 | |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | | | 10 | 330 | |
| Naphthalene | | | 10 | 330 | |

Table 3-1 (continued)

| | Analytical Method | | Project Qua | antitation Levels ^{a,b} |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------|----------------------------------|
| Parameters | Water | Soil/Sediment | Water | Soil/Sediment |
| 4-Chloroaniline | | | 10 | 330 |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | | | 10 | 330 |
| 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol | | | 10 | 330 |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | | | 10 | 330 |
| Hexachlorocyclopentadiene | | | 10 | 330 |
| 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol | | | 10 | 330 |
| 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol | | | 25 | 800 |
| 2-Chloronaphthalene | | | 10 | 330 |
| 2-Nitroaniline | | | 25 | 800 |
| Dimethylphthalate | | | 10 | 330 |
| Acenaphthylene | | | 10 | 330 |
| 2,6-Dinitrotoluene | | | 10 | 330 |
| 3-Nitroaniline | | | 25 | 800 |
| Acenaphthene | | | 10 | 330 |
| 2,4-Dinitrophenol | | | 25 | 800 |
| 4-Nitrophenol | | | 25 | 800 |
| Dibenzofuran | | | 10 | 330 |
| 2,4-Dinitrotoluene | | | 10 | 330 |
| Diethylphthalate | | | 10 | 330 |
| 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether | | | 10 | 330 |
| Fluorene | | | 10 | 330 |
| 4-Nitroaniline | | | 25 | 800 |
| 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol | | | 25 | 800 |
| N-nitrosodiphenylamine | | | 10 | 330 |
| 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether | | | 10 | 330 |
| Hexachlorobenzene | | | 10 | 330 |
| Pentachlorophenol | | | 25 | 800 |
| Phenanthrene | | | 10 | 330 |
| Anthracene | | | 10 | 330 |

Table 3-1 (continued)

| | Analytica | l Methods | Project Quantitation Levels ^{a,b} | |
|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|----------------------|
| Parameters | Water | Soil/Sediment | Water | Soil/Sediment |
| Carbazole | | | 10 | 330 |
| di-N-butylphthalate | | | 10 | 330 |
| Fluoranthene | | | 10 | 330 |
| Pyrene | | | 10 | 330 |
| Butylbenzylphthalate | | | 10 | 330 |
| 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine | | | 10 | 330 |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | | | 10 | 330 |
| Chrysene | | | 10 | 330 |
| bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate | | | 10 | 330 |
| di-N-octylphthalate | | | 10 | 330 |
| Benzo(b)fluoranthene | | | 10 | 330 |
| Benzo(k)fluoranthene | | | 10 | 330 |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | | | 10 | 330 |
| Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene | | | 10 | 330 |
| Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene | | | 10 | 330 |
| Benzo(g,h,i)perylene | | | 10 | 330 |
| Polychlorinated Biphenyls | SW846- 3520/8082 ^c | SW846- 3550/8082 ^c | (µg/L) | (μg/kg) ^d |
| Arochlor-1016 | | | 1.0 | 33 |
| Arochlor-1221 | | | 2.0 | 67 |
| Arochlor-1232 | | | 1.0 | 33 |
| Arochlor-1242 | | | 1.0 | 33 |
| Arochlor-1248 | | | 1.0 | 33 |
| Arochlor-1254 | | | 1.0 | 33 |
| Arochlor-1260 | | | 1.0 | 33 |
| Explosive Compounds | SW 846-8330 ^c | SW 846-8330 ^c | (µg/L) | (µg/kg) ^d |
| HMX [Octahydro-1,3,5,7-tetranitro-1,3,5,7-tetrazocine] | | | 20 | 2 |
| RDX (cyclonite) [Hexahydro-1,3,5-trinitro-1,3,5-triazine] | | | 20 | 2 |

Table 3-1 (continued)

| | Analytica | l Methods | Project Qua | antitation Levels ^{a,b} |
|------------------------------|---|---|-------------------|----------------------------------|
| Parameters | Water | Soil/Sediment | Water | Soil/Sediment |
| 1,3,5-Trinitrobenzene | | | 2^e | 1 |
| 1,3-Dinitrobenzene | | | 3 ^e | 1 |
| Tetryl | | | 50 | 5 |
| Nitrobenzene | | | 10 | 1 |
| 2,4,6-Trinitrotoluene | | | 3 ^e | 1 |
| 2,4-Dinitrotoluene | | | 0.13 ^e | 1 |
| 2,6-Dinitrotoluene | | | 0.13 ^e | 1 |
| o-Nitrotoluene | | | 10 | 1 |
| m-Nitrotoluene | | | 10 | 1 |
| p-Nitrotoluene | | | 10 | 1 |
| Propellant Compounds | | | (µg/L) | $(\mu g/kg)^d$ |
| Nitroglycerin | SW 846-8330 Modified | SW 846-8330 Modified | 10 | 2.5 |
| Nitroquanidine | | | 10 | 1 |
| Nitrocellulose | EPA 353.2 Modified | EPA 353.2 Modified | 10 | 1 |
| Metals (Target Analyte List) | SW 846- 3010A/6010B, 6020, or 7000 series ^c | SW 846- 3050A/6010B, 6020, or 7000 series ^c | (μg/L) | (mg/kg) ^{d,f} |
| Aluminum | | | 200 | 20 |
| Antimony | | | 1 | 0.5 |
| Arsenic | | | 5 | 0.5 |
| Barium | | | 200 | 20 |
| Beryllium | | | 4 | 0.5 |
| Cadmium | | | 1 | 0.5 |
| Calcium | | | 5,000 | 500 |
| Chromium | | | 10 | 1 |
| Cobalt | | | 50 | 15 |
| Copper | | | 25 | 2.5 |
| Iron | | | 100 | 10 |

Table 3-1 (continued)

| | Analytica | l Methods | Project Quantitation Levels ^{a,b} | |
|----------------|---------------------|--------------|---|---------------|
| Parameters | Water Soil/Sediment | | Water | Soil/Sediment |
| Lead | | | 3 | 0.3 |
| Magnesium | | | 5,000 | 500 |
| Manganese | | | 15 | 1.5 |
| Mercury (CVAA) | SW 846-7470A | SW 846-7471A | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Nickel | | | 40 | 4 |
| Potassium | | | 5,000 | 500 |
| Selenium | | | 5 | 0.5 |
| Silver | | | 10 | 1 |
| Sodium | | | 5,000 | 500 |
| Thallium | | | 2 | 0.5 |
| Vanadium | | | 50 | 5 |
| Zinc | | | 20 | 2 |
| Cyanide | SW846-9012 | SW846-9012 | 10 | 0.5 |

^a These are expected quantitation limits based on reagent-grade water or a purified solid matrix. Actual quantitation limits may be higher depending upon the nature of the sample matrix. The limit reported on final laboratory reports will take into account the actual sample volume or weight, percent solids (where applicable), and the dilution factor, if any. The quantitation limits for additional analytes to this list may vary, depending upon the results of laboratory studies.

^b Values determined between the laboratory method detection levels and the project quantitation levels will be reported as estimated "J".

^c Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, U.S. EPA, SW-846, third edition.

d Soils and sediment analysis will be reported on a dry weight basis.

^e Modification of the SW-846 preparation and analysis procedures may be required to achieve these quantitation levels.

f Estimated detection limits for metals in soil are based on a 2-gram sa mple diluted to 200 milliliters.

| RVAAP NACA Test Area Phase I RI-Quality Assurance Project Plan Addendum No. 1 | |
|---|--|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

4.0 SAMPLING PROCEDURES

Sampling procedures are discussed in the facility-wide FSP and the SAP Addendum for the Phase I RI at the NACA Test Area.

<u>Tables 4-1</u> and <u>4-2</u> summarize sample container, preservation, and holding time requirements for soil, sediment, and water matrices for these investigations. The number of containers required is estimated in these tables.

Table 4-1. Container Requirements for Soil and Sediment Samples for the NACA Test Area Phase I RI

| Analyte Group | Approx. No. of Bottles, incl. Field QC | Container | Minimum Sample Size | Preservative | Holding Time |
|---------------------------------------|--|---|------------------------|--------------|--|
| Volatile Organic Compounds | 152 | One 2-ounce glass jar with Teflon*-lined cap (no headspace) | 20 grams | Cool, 4°C | 14 days |
| Semivolatile Organic Compounds | 152 | One 4-ounce glass jar with Teflon®-lined cap | 100 grams | Cool, 4°C | 14 days (extraction) 40 days (analysis) |
| Polychlorinated Biphenyl Compounds | 26 | One 4-ounce glass jar with Teflon [®] -lined cap | 100 grams | Cool, 4°C | 14 days (extraction) 40 days (analysis) |
| Explosive Compounds | 25 | One 4-ounce glass jar with Teflon®-lined cap | 100 grams | Cool, 4°C | 14 days (extraction) 40 days (analysis) |
| Propellant Compounds | 25 | One 4-ounce glass jar with Teflon®-lined cap | 100 grams | Cool, 4°C | 14 days (extraction) 40 days (analysis) |
| Metals | 152 | One 4-ounce widemouth polybottle | 50 grams | Cool, 4°C | 180 days |
| Cyanide | - | Use same container as metals | 25 grams | Cool, 4°C | 14 days |
| Total Organic Carbon | 6 | One 4-ounce glass jar with Teflon®-lined cap | 10 grams | Cool, 4°C | 28 days |
| Grain Size | 6 | One 8-ounce widemouth container | 100 grams | None | None |

Table 4-2. Container Requirements for Water Samples for the NACA Test Area Phase I RI^a

| Analyte Group | Approx. No. of Bottles, incl. Field QC | Container | Minimum Sample Size | Preservative | Holding Time |
|---------------------------------------|--|---|------------------------|--|---|
| Volatile Organic Compounds | 36 | Three 40 milliliter glass vials with Teflon [®] -lined septum (no headspace) | 80 milliliters | HCl to pH <2 Cool, 4°C | 14 days |
| Semivolatile Organic Compounds | 20 | Two 1-liter amber glass bottles with Teflon®-lined lid | 1,000 milliliters | Cool, 4°C | 7 days (extraction) 40 days (analysis) |
| Polychlorinated Biphenyl Compounds | 18 | Two 1-liter amber glass bottles with Teflon®-lined lid | 1,000 milliliters | Cool, 4°C | 7 days (extraction) 40 days (analysis) |
| Explosive Compounds | 20 | Two 1-liter amber glass bottles with Teflon®-lined lid | 1,000 milliliters | Cool, 4°C | 7 days (extraction) 40 days (analysis) |
| Propellant Compounds | 20 | Two 1-liter amber glass bottles with Teflon®-lined lid | 1,000 milliliters | Cool, 4°C | 7 days (extraction) 40 days (analysis) |
| Metals (total) | 10 | One 1-liter polybottle | 500 milliliters | HNO ₃ to pH <2 Cool, 4°C | 180 days |
| Cyanide | 10 | One 1-liter polybottle | 500 milliliters | NaOH to pH >12 Cool, 4°C | 14 days |

^a Additional sample volume will be collected for one sample in order for the laboratory to perform appropriate laboratory QC analysis.

| RVAAP NACA Test Area Phase I RI-Quality Assurance Project Plan Addendum No. 1 |
|---|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

5.0 SAMPLE CUSTODY

5.1 FIELD CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY PROCEDURES

Sample handling, packaging, and shipment procedures will follow those identified in Section 5.1 of the facility-wide QAPP.

5.2 LABORATORY CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY PROCEDURES

Laboratory chain of custody will follow handling and custody procedures identified in Section 8.5.3 of the Quanterra QAMP.

5.3 FINAL EVIDENCE FILES CUSTODY PROCEDURES

Custody-of-evidence files will follow the criteria defined in Section 5.3 of the facility-wide QAPP.

| RVAAP NACA Test Area Phase I RI-Quality Assurance Project Plan Addendum No. 1 |
|---|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

6.0 CALIBRATION PROCEDURES AND FREQUENCY

6.1 FIELD INSTRUMENTS/EQUIPMENT

Field instruments and equipment calibrations will follow those identified in Section 6.1 of the facility-wide QAPP.

6.2 LABORATORY INSTRUMENTS

Calibration of laboratory equipment will follow the procedures identified in Section 8.5.4 of the Quanterra QAMP, corporate, and facility-specific operating procedures.

As directed by the Louisville USACE, the laboratories will institute analysis of a quality control method reporting level (QCMRL) standard during initial and continuing calibration verification. This low-level check standard will supplement information provided by the routine mid-level calibration verification check standard. Analytical method controls will be based on the mid-level calibration verification check standard, while the QCMRL standard will assist the project in assessing precision and accuracy near the method detection level.

Also as directed by the Louisville USACE, the laboratories will include all target compound list analytes in laboratory control sample (LCS) and MS analysis. Laboratories will implement analytical method corrective actions based on QC limits established for the SW-846 LCS and MS subset of these analytes. All LCS and MS results will be reported with the analytical data set.

| RVAAP NACA Test Area Phase I RI-Quality Assurance Project Plan Addendum No. 1 | |
|---|--|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| THE DAOE INTERNATIONALLY AND FREE DEADLY | |
| THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

7.0 ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES

7.1 LABORATORY ANALYSIS

Analytical methods, parameters, and quantitation or detection limits are those listed in Table 3-3 of the facility-wide QAPP.

Quanterra's QAMP Section 8.0 and the facility-specific addenda for the North Canton, Knoxville, and Sacramento facilities will be followed during the analysis of these samples. The following laboratory Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) will implement the defined U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Methods.

- Gas Chromotograph/MS Volatile Organics Analysis, Based on Methods 8240B and 8260B, SW846, CORP-MS-0002, Rev. 2, 12/15/97.
- GC/MS Semivolatile Analysis, Based on Methods 8270C, SW846, CORP-MS-0001, Rev. 2, 12/15/97.
- GC Analysis Based on Method 8000A, 8010B, 8020A, 8021A, 8080A, 8081, 8082, 8150B, and 8051, SW846, CORP-GC-0001, Rev. 5.1, 3/30/99.
- Extraction and Cleanup of Organic Compounds from Waters and Soils, Based on SW846 3500 Series, 3600 Series, 8150, 8151, and 600 Series Methods, CORP-OP-0001, Rev. 3.4, 4/15/99.
- Total Organic Carbon and Total Inorganic Carbon, NC-WC-0017, Rev. 2, 2/15/99.
- Inductively Coupled Plasma–Atomic Emission Spectroscopy, Spectrometric Method for Trace Element Analysis, Methods 6010B and 200.7, CORP-MT-0001, Rev. 2, 12/15/97.
- Graphite Furnace Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy, SW846 Methods 7000A and MCAWW 200 series methods, CORP-MT-0003, Rev. 1, 08/22/95.
- Mercury in Aqueous Samples by Cold Vapor Atomic Absorption, SW846 7470A and MCAWW 245.1, CORP-MT-0005NC, Rev. 1.1, 04/19/97.
- Mercury in Solid Samples by Cold Vapor Atomic Absorption, SW846 7471A and MCAWW 245.5, CORP-MT-0007NC, Rev. 1.1, 04/17/97.
- Analysis of Nitroaromatic and Nitramine Explosives by High-performance Liquid Chromatography, KNOX-LC-0001, Rev. 1, 04/28/97.
- Preparation and Analysis of Nitrocellulose in Aqueous, Soil, and Sediments by Colorimetric Autoanalyzer, SAC-WC-0050, Rev. 0.0.
- Determination of Nitroaromatics, Nitramines, and Specialty Explosives in Water and Soil by High-performance Liquid Chromatography/Ultraviolet Detector (HPLC/UV) and Liquid Chromatography/ Thermospray/Mass Spectrometry (LC/TSP/MS), SAC-LC-0001, Rev. 5.0.

Quanterra facilities will at all times maintain a safe and contaminant-free environment for the analysis of samples. The laboratories will demonstrate through instrument blanks, holding blanks, and analytical method blanks that the laboratory environment and procedures will not and do not impact analytical results.

Quanterra facilities will also implement all reasonable procedures to maintain project reporting levels for all sample analyses. Where contaminant and sample matrix analytical interferences impact the laboratory's ability to obtain project reporting levels, the laboratory will institute sample cleanup processes, minimize dilutions, adjust instrument operational parameters, or propose alternative analytical methods or procedures. Elevated reporting levels will be kept to a minimum throughout the execution of this work.

7.2 FIELD SCREENING ANALYTICAL PROTOCOLS

Procedures for field analysis are identified in Chapter 6.0 of the facility-wide SAP (USACE 1996) and in Chapter 4.0 of the FSP of this Phase I RI SAP Addendum for the NACA Test Area. Only screening of samples for organic vapors using an photoionization detector will be conducted. Headspace analysis will not be conducted.

8.0 INTERNAL QUALITY CONTROL CHECKS

8.1 FIELD SAMPLE COLLECTION

Field QC sample types, numbers, and frequencies are identified in Chapter 4.0 of the FSP of this Phase I RI SAP Addendum. In general, field duplicates will be collected at a frequency of 10 percent. Field equipment rinsates will be collected at a frequency of 5 percent for water samples collected with nondedicated equipment, and volatile organic trip blanks will accompany all shipments containing volatile organic water samples. One source water blank is planned; this sample is accounted for under the surface water sampling summary in Table 1-1.

8.2 FIELD MEASUREMENT

Refer to Chapter 4.0 of the FSP of this Phase I RI SAP Addendum for the NACA Test Area for details regarding these measurements.

8.3 LABORATORY ANALYSIS

Analytical QC procedures will follow those identified in the referenced EPA methodologies. These will include method blanks, LCSs, MS, MSD, laboratory duplicate analysis, calibration standards, internal standards, surrogate standards, and calibration check standards.

As directed by the Louisville USACE, the laboratories will institute analysis of QCMRL standard during initial and continuing calibration verification. This low-level check standard will supplement information provided by the routine mid-level calibration verification check standard. Analytical method controls will be based on the mid-level calibration verification check standard, while the QCMRL standard will assist the project in assessing precision and accuracy near the method detection level.

Also as directed by the Louisville USACE, the laboratories will include all target compound list analytes in LCS and MS analysis. Laboratories will implement analytical method corrective actions based on QC limits established for the SW-846 LCS and MS subset of these analytes. All LCS and MS results will be reported with the analytical data set.

Quanterra facilities will conform to their QAMP and facility-specific appendices and will implement their established SOPs to perform the various analytical methods required by the project. QC frequencies will follow those identified in Section 8.3 of the facility-wide QAPP.

| RVAAP NACA Test Area Phase I RI-Quality Assurance Project Plan Addendum No. 1 |
|---|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK |
| THIS TAGE INTENTIONALLY BEFT BLANK |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

9.0 DATA REDUCTION, VALIDATION, AND REPORTING

9.1 DATA REDUCTION

Sample collection and field measurements will follow the established protocols defined in the facility-wide QAPP, facility-wide FSP, and this NACA Test Area Phase I RI SAP Addendum. Laboratory data reduction will follow Quanterra's QAMP Section 8.6 guidance and conform to general direction provided by the facility-wide QAPP.

9.2 DATA VALIDATION

Data validation will follow the direction provided in the facility-wide QAPP.

9.3 DATA REPORTING

Analytical data reports will follow the direction provided in the facility-wide QAPP.

| RVAAP NACA Test Area Phase I RI-Quality Assurance Project Plan Addendum No. 1 | |
|---|---|
| | _ |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK | |

10.0 PERFORMANCE AND SYSTEM AUDITS

10.1 FIELD AUDITS

A minimum of one field surveillance for each medium being sampled during the investigation will be performed by the Science Applications International Corporation (SAIC) QA Officer and/or the SAIC Field Team Leader. These audits will encompass the sampling of surface soils, subsurface soils, well installation, and well sampling. Surveillances will follow SAIC QAPP No. 18.3.

USACE, EPA Region V, or Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (Ohio EPA) audits may be conducted at the discretion of the respective agency.

10.2 LABORATORY AUDITS

Routine HTRW CX on-site laboratory audits will be conducted by USACE, EPA Region V, or Ohio EPA. Audits may be conducted at the discretion of the respective agency.

Internal performance and systems audits will be conducted by Quanterra's QA staff as defined in the laboratory QAMP, Section 9.2.

| RVAAP NACA Test Area Phase I RI-Quality Assurance Project Plan Addendum No. 1 |
|---|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK |
| THIS TROL INTERNITORINELL BELL BEAUTI |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

11.0 PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE PROCEDURES

11.1 FIELD INSTRUMENTS AND EQUIPMENT

Maintenance of all field analytical and sampling equipment will follow directions provided in Section 11.1 of the facility-wide QAPP.

11.2 LABORATORY INSTRUMENTS

Routine and preventive maintenance for all laboratory instruments and equipment will follow the direction of Section 8.11 of Quanterra's QAMP.

| RVAAP NACA Test Area Phase I RI–Quality Assurance Project Plan Addendum No. 1 | |
|---|---|
| | _ |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

12.0 SPECIFIC ROUTINE PROCEDURES TO ASSESS DATA PRECISION, ACCURACY, AND COMPLETENESS

12.1 FIELD MEASUREMENTS DATA

Field data will be assessed as outlined in Section 12.1 of the facility-wide QAPP.

12.2 LABORATORY DATA

Laboratory data will be assessed as outlined in Section 12.2 of the facility-wide QAPP.

| RVAAP NACA Test Area Phase I RI-Quality Assurance Project Plan Addendum No. 1 |
|---|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

13.0 CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

13.1 SAMPLE COLLECTION/FIELD MEASUREMENTS

Field activity corrective action protocol will follow directions provided in Section 13.1 of the facility-wide QAPP.

13.2 LABORATORY ANALYSES

Laboratory activity corrective action protocol will follow directions provided in Section 13.2 of the facility-wide QAPP and Section 9.1 of Quanterra's QAMP.

| RVAAP NACA Test Area Phase I RI-Quality Assurance Project Plan Addendum No. 1 |
|---|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

14.0 QA REPORTS TO MANAGEMENT

Procedures and reports will follow the protocol identified in Section 14.0 of the facility-wide QAPP and those directed by Section 9.4 of Quanterra's QAMP.

| RVAAP NACA Test Area Phase I RI-Quality Assurance Project Plan Addendum No. 1 |
|---|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

15.0 REFERENCES

USACE 1996. Facility-wide Sampling and Analysis Plan for the Ravenna Army Ammunition Plant, Ravenna, Ohio.

Additional references to the facility-wide QAPP are:

Quanterra Environmental Services, Inc., 1998. *Quality Assurance Management Plan*, Rev. 3, November 2, 1998.

GC/MS Volatile Organics Analysis, Based on Methods 8240B and 8260B, SW846, CORP-MS-0002, Rev. 2, 12/15/97.

GC/MS Semivolatile Analysis, Based on Methods 8270C, SW846, CORP-MS-0001, Rev. 2, 12/15/97.

Gas Chromatographic Analysis, Based on Method 8000A, 8010B, 8020A, 8021A, 8080A, 8081, 8082, 8150B, and 8051, SW846, CORP-GC-0001, Rev. 5.1, 3/30/99.

Extraction and Cleanup of Organic Compounds from Waters and Soils, Based on SW846 3500 Series, 3600 Series, 8150, 8151, and 600 Series Methods, CORP-OP-0001, Rev. 3.4, 4/15/99.

Total Organic Carbon and Total Inorganic Carbon, NC-WC-0017, Rev. 2, 2/15/99.

Inductively Coupled Plasma–Atomic Emission Spectroscopy, Spectrometric Method for Trace Element Analysis, Methods 6010B and 200.7, CORP-MT-0001, Rev. 2, 12/15/97.

Graphite Furnace Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy, SW846 Methods 7000A and MCAWW 200 series methods, CORP-MT-0003, Rev. 1, 08/22/95.

Mercury in Aqueous Samples by Cold Vapor Atomic Absorption, SW846 7470A and MCAWW 245.1, CORP-MT-0005NC, Rev. 1.1, 04/19/97.

Mercury in Solid Samples by Cold Vapor Atomic Absorption, SW846 7471A and MCAWW 245.5, CORP-MT-0007NC, Rev. 1.1, 04/17/97.

Analysis of Nitroaromatic and Nitramine Explosives by High-performance Liquid Chromatography, KNOX-LC-0001, Rev. 1, 04/28/97.

Preparation and Analysis of Nitrocellulose in Aqueous, Soil, and Sediments by Colorimetric Autoanalyzer, SAC-WC-0050, Rev. 0.0.

Determination of Nitroaromatics, Nitramines, and Specialty Explosives in Water and Soil by High-performance Liquid Chromatography/Ultraviolet Detector (HPLC/UV) and Liquid Chromatography/Thermospray/Mass Spectrometry (LC/TSP/MS), SAC-LC-0001, Rev. 5.0.

| RVAAP NACA Test Area Phase I RI – Sampling and Analysis Plan Addendum No. 1 |
|---|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK |
| THIS TAGE INTENTIONALLT LEFT BLANK |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |